

# A NOTE ON MULTI-DIMENSIONAL CAMASSA-HOLM TYPE SYSTEMS ON THE TORUS

MARTIN KOHLMANN

ABSTRACT. We present a  $2n$ -component nonlinear evolutionary PDE which includes the  $n$ -dimensional versions of the Camassa-Holm and the Hunter-Saxton systems as well as their partially averaged variations. Our goal is to apply Arnold's [1, 9] geometric formalism to this general equation in order to obtain results on well-posedness, conservation laws or stability of its solutions. Following the line of arguments of the paper [25] we present geometric aspects of a two-dimensional periodic  $\mu$ -b-equation on the diffeomorphism group of the torus in this context.

## CONTENTS

|                                                               |    |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1. Introduction                                               | 1  |
| 2. The geometric formalism                                    | 3  |
| 3. Applications of the geometric theory                       | 7  |
| 4. The case $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (1, 0, 0)$ and $n = 2$ | 9  |
| References                                                    | 12 |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the following system of nonlinear partial differential equations

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} m_t &= -u \cdot \nabla m - (\nabla u)^T \cdot m - m(\nabla \cdot u) - (\nabla \rho)^T \cdot \rho, \\ \rho_t &= -\nabla \rho \cdot u - \rho(\nabla \cdot u), \end{cases}$$

where  $u$ ,  $m$  and  $\rho$  are vector fields on the  $n$ -torus  $\mathbb{S}^n \simeq \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$  which also depend on time  $t \geq 0$ . Furthermore, it is assumed that there is a linear operator  $A$  such that  $m = Au$ , and that  $A$  is of the form  $\alpha\mu + \beta - \Delta$ , with  $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $\alpha + \beta \neq 2$ ; here  $\mu(u) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u(x) d^n x$  is the mean value operator.

For  $(\alpha, \beta) = (0, 1)$  and  $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, 0)$ , the system (1) reduces to the  $2n$ -component  $n$ -dimensional periodic  $(\mu)$ -Camassa-Holm equation. For the choice  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ , one obtains from (1) the  $2n$ -component  $n$ -dimensional periodic Hunter-Saxton system. If in addition  $\rho \equiv 0$ , the above mentioned systems reduce to the corresponding  $n$ -component versions. For  $n = 1$ , there are several studies of the

$(\mu)$ –Camassa-Holm and the Hunter-Saxton equations or systems, respectively. The Camassa-Holm equation

$$(2) \quad u_t + 3uu_x = 2u_xu_{xx} + uu_{xxx} + u_{txx}$$

first appeared in 1993 and was introduced by bi-Hamiltonian methods in [3]. In the subsequent years, various interesting properties of this equation and its solutions, e.g., integrability [21–23], blow-up and peakons [4, 40] and geometric aspects [6, 7, 27, 30, 38], have been discussed. Related issues have been worked out for the two-component generalization of (2)

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} m_t &= -m_xu - 2u_xm - \rho\rho_x, \\ \rho_t &= -(\rho u)_x, \end{cases}$$

with  $m = u - u_{xx}$ , in [5, 10, 13–15, 18, 29]. In [24], the authors proposed the equation

$$(4) \quad 2\mu(u)u_x = 2u_xu_{xx} + uu_{xxx} + u_{txx}$$

and called it the  $\mu$ -Hunter-Saxton equation. This equation also appeared in [34] and has been called the  $\mu$ -Camassa-Holm equation there. The associated two-component version, which is the system (3) with  $m = \mu(u) - u_{xx}$ , has been the subject of [35, 36, 45] where the authors establish its bi-Hamiltonian and variational nature as well as blow-up, global existence and the existence of weak solutions. The Hunter-Saxton equation

$$(5) \quad 0 = 2u_xu_{xx} + uu_{xxx} + u_{txx}$$

and its associated two-component analog, which is Eq. (3) with  $m = -u_{xx}$ , also have several interesting mathematical properties, c.f., e.g., [20, 29, 31–33, 41–44]. Note that the Camassa-Holm and Hunter-Saxton equations have meaningful physical interpretations since they are related to fluid dynamics: In [8] it is shown that Eq. (2) describes the motion of shallow water waves over a flat bottom under the action of gravity. In [19] it is explained that Eq. (5) is derived from the least action principle for orientation waves in liquid crystals.

Counter to the large amount of papers referring to the case  $n = 1$ , higher dimensional variations of the Camassa-Holm type systems named above have rarely been studied. However, multi-variable extensions of these equations are of interest from the physical and the mathematical point of view as explained in, e.g., [12, 16, 34].

Concerning  $n = 2$ ,  $(\alpha, \beta) = (0, 1)$  and  $\rho = 0$ , we have shown in [25] that the two-dimensional Camassa-Holm equation [12, 16, 28] can be interpreted in Arnold’s geometric framework, i.e., as a geodesic flow on the diffeomorphism group of the torus. The key idea is to recast the two-dimensional Camassa-Holm equation as a geodesic equation on the torus diffeomorphism group with respect to a suitable right-invariant weak Riemannian metric. In addition, there is a smooth affine connection which preserves the metric. As a consequence of the geometric approach, one obtains results on, e.g., well-posedness and stability.

In fact, many results obtained in [25] also apply to the general system (1) which includes the Camassa-Holm and Hunter-Saxton systems in any dimension  $n$ . The

geometric theory is not only aesthetically appealing, but also results in information about solutions or conservation laws. It is the aim of this note to prove that (1) is well-posed on a scale of Sobolev spaces and also in the smooth category. Concerning the special choice  $n = 2$ ,  $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, 0)$  and  $\rho = 0$ , we consider a two-dimensional periodic  $\mu$ -b-equation [17, 34] and prove that  $b = 2$  is the only case for which one obtains a metric Euler equation (namely the 2D  $\mu$ -Camassa-Holm equation). We also provide some explicit computations of the sectional curvature of the associated torus diffeomorphism group in this case.

## 2. THE GEOMETRIC FORMALISM

We let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\alpha + \beta \neq 2$ ,  $\mathbf{1}_n$  the  $n \times n$  identity matrix, and set

$$\mathbb{A} = (1 - \gamma)(\alpha\mu + \beta - \Delta)\mathbf{1}_n + \gamma \operatorname{diag}(\alpha\mu + \beta - \Delta, 1) \otimes \mathbf{1}_n.$$

For  $\gamma = 0$ ,  $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$  and  $s > n/2 + 1$ , let  $G^s = \operatorname{Diff}^s(\mathbb{S}^n)$  denote the group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms  $\mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$  which are elements of the Sobolev space  $H^s(\mathbb{S}^n)$ . For  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$  we let  $G^s = \operatorname{Diff}_0^s(\mathbb{S}^n)$  be the subgroup of  $\operatorname{Diff}^s(\mathbb{S}^n)$  defined by the condition  $p(0) = 0$ . For  $\gamma = 1$  and  $s > n/2 + 1$ , let  $G^s$  denote the semidirect product obtained from the orientation preserving  $H^s$  diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{S}^n$  (or its subgroup  $\operatorname{Diff}_0^s(\mathbb{S}^n)$  in the case  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ ) with the space  $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{S}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $G^s$  is a smooth Hilbert manifold and a topological group and

$$T_e G^s \simeq \begin{cases} H^s(\mathbb{S}^n; \mathbb{R}^n), & \gamma = 0, \\ H^s(\mathbb{S}^n; \mathbb{R}^n) \times H^{s-1}(\mathbb{S}^n; \mathbb{R}^n), & \gamma = 1, \end{cases}$$

for  $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$ , and

$$T_e G^s \simeq \begin{cases} H_0^s(\mathbb{S}^n; \mathbb{R}^n), & \gamma = 0, \\ H_0^s(\mathbb{S}^n; \mathbb{R}^n) \times H^{s-1}(\mathbb{S}^n; \mathbb{R}^n), & \gamma = 1, \end{cases}$$

if  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ ; here  $H_0^s(\mathbb{S}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  is the subspace of  $H^s(\mathbb{S}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  defined by the condition  $u(0) = 0$ . For  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , we arrive at the smooth category which is described as above with  $H^s$  replaced by  $C^\infty$ . The group  $G^\infty = \bigcap_{s > n/2+1} G^s$  is a Lie group and a smooth Fréchet manifold. Further details about the groups under discussion can be found in Sect. 2 of [25].

Our strategy is to define a right-invariant metric on  $G^s$  such that the corresponding Euler equation is the  $n$ -dimensional version of the equation specified in the following tabular.

| $(\alpha, \beta)$ | $(0, 0)$ | $(0, 1)$ | $(1, 0)$           |
|-------------------|----------|----------|--------------------|
| $\gamma = 0$      | HS       | CH       | $\mu - \text{CH}$  |
| $\gamma = 1$      | 2HS      | 2CH      | $\mu - 2\text{CH}$ |

In the framework of Arnold's [1] approach, we have to proceed as follows:

- (1) Check that the *inertia operator*  $\mathbb{A}$  is indeed a topological isomorphism  $T_e G^\infty \rightarrow T_e G^\infty$ .
- (2) Define a right-invariant weak Riemannian metric on  $G^\infty$  which coincides with the bilinear form induced by  $\mathbb{A}$  at the identity.

- (3) Define an affine connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  on  $G^\infty$  and a corresponding right-invariant *Christoffel operator*  $\Gamma_p$  such that the equation  $p_{tt} = \Gamma_p(p_t, p_t)$  is the geodesic equation for the Lagrangian flow  $p(t) \subset G^\infty$  defined by  $\bar{\nabla}$ .
- (4) Check that the metric and the connection produce indeed the same geodesic flow. The geodesics on  $G^\infty$  are then also determined by the solutions of the equation  $w_t = \text{ad}_w^* w$ , in terms of the Eulerian variable  $w = p_t \circ p_1^{-1}$ , where  $p = p_1$  if  $\gamma = 0$  or  $p = (p_1, p_2)$  if  $\gamma = 1$ .

The geometric approach will result in information about the solutions of the equations under consideration, as we will elucidate in the following. For the sake of simplicity, we will first work with the Sobolev spaces  $H^s$  and we will discuss the limit  $s \rightarrow \infty$  later on.

We begin with the inertia operator  $\mathbb{A}$ . Let  $\hat{H}^s(\mathbb{S}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the subspace of  $H^s(\mathbb{S}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  defined by the condition  $\mu(u) = 0$ . To keep the notation as simple as possible, we write  $H^s(\mathbb{S}^n; \mathbb{R}^n) = H^s$  etc. henceforth. We assume that  $s > n/2 + 2$ .

**Lemma 1.** *The operator  $\mathbb{A}$  is a topological isomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} H^s &\rightarrow H^{s-2}, & \gamma = 0, (\alpha, \beta) &\neq (0, 0), \\ H_0^s &\rightarrow \hat{H}^{s-2}, & \gamma = 0, (\alpha, \beta) &= (0, 0), \\ H^s \times H^{s-1} &\rightarrow H^{s-2} \times H^{s-1}, & \gamma = 1, (\alpha, \beta) &\neq (0, 0), \\ H_0^s \times H^{s-1} &\rightarrow \hat{H}^{s-2} \times H^{s-1}, & \gamma = 1, (\alpha, \beta) &= (0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $1 - \Delta, \mu - \Delta: H^s \rightarrow H^{s-2}$  and  $-\Delta: H_0^s \rightarrow \hat{H}^{s-2}$  are topological isomorphisms. For  $1 - \Delta$  and  $\mu - \Delta$  this has been established as explained in [34]. We now prove that the operator  $A = -\Delta$  is a topological isomorphism  $D(A) = H_0^s \rightarrow \hat{H}^{n-2}$ ; here, we write  $Au = (Au_1, \dots, Au_n)$  for simplicity. Let  $\mathcal{F}: L_2(\mathbb{S}^n) \rightarrow \ell_2(\mathbb{Z}^n)$  be the Fourier transform. Any  $u \in D(A)$  can be written as  $u = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n} u_\alpha e^{2\pi i \alpha \cdot x}$ , with  $(u_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n} = \mathcal{F}(u)$ . Since  $\ker A = \{0\}$  it follows that  $A$  is injective. To see that  $A$  is surjective, we pick  $v = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} v_\alpha e^{2\pi i \alpha \cdot x} \in \hat{H}^{s-2}$  and define

$$w = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{v_\alpha}{4\pi^2 \alpha^2} e^{2\pi i \alpha \cdot x} - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{v_\alpha}{4\pi^2 \alpha^2}.$$

Since  $w(0) = 0$  and since  $A$  is a Fourier multiplication operator with the symbol  $4\pi^2 \alpha^2$ , it follows that  $Aw = v$ . Finally, it is easy to derive the estimates  $\|Au\|_{H^{s-2}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^s}$  and  $\|A^{-1}v\|_{H^s} \lesssim \|v\|_{H^{s-2}}$ , for  $u \in D(A)$  and  $v \in D(A^{-1})$  respectively.  $\square$

The second step is to introduce a suitable right-invariant metric.

**Lemma 2.** *The map*

$$(6) \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : T_e G^s \times T_e G^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (u, v) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u \mathbb{A} v \, d^n x$$

*is a scalar product on  $T_e G^s$ .*

*Proof.* Obviously,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a symmetric bilinear form on  $T_e G^s$ . Since we have

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \alpha \mu(u_i) \mu(v_i) + \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} (\beta u_i v_i + \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla v_i) \, d^n x \right] + \gamma \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u_i v_i \, d^n x$$

it is immediate to check that, for all possible combinations of  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , we have that  $\langle u, u \rangle \geq 0$  and  $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$  iff  $u = 0$ .  $\square$

We extend the map defined in (6) to a map

$$(7) \quad G^s \times TG^s \times TG^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (p, u, v) \mapsto \langle u, v \rangle_p = \langle u \circ p_1^{-1}, v \circ p_1^{-1} \rangle,$$

where  $u, v \in T_p G^s$  and  $p = p_1$ , for  $\gamma = 0$ , and  $p = (p_1, p_2)$ , for  $\gamma = 1$ . Note that  $u \circ p_1^{-1}$  is the differential at the point  $p$  of the right translation map  $R_{p^{-1}} : G^s \rightarrow G^s$ ,  $q \mapsto qp^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 3.** *The pair  $(G^s, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is a weak Riemannian manifold. In particular, the map*

$$G^s \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{sym}}^2(TG^s; \mathbb{R}), \quad p \mapsto \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$$

*is smooth.*

*Proof.* That  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$  is a weak Riemannian metric follows as in ¶9 of [9]; cf. Theorem 9.1. That the map  $p \mapsto \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$  is smooth also follows from the explicit representation

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, v \rangle_p &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \alpha \mu(u_i | \nabla p_1) \mu(v_i | \nabla p_1) + \beta \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u_i v_i | \nabla p_1 | d^n x \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} [\nabla u_i^T \cdot (\nabla p_1)^{-1}] \cdot [\nabla v_i^T \cdot (\nabla p_1)^{-1}] | \nabla p_1 | d^n x \right] + \gamma \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u_i v_i | \nabla p_1 | d^n x \end{aligned}$$

and this achieves the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

Now let  $A = \alpha\mu + \beta - \Delta$ ,

$$(8) \quad \Gamma_{(\text{id}, 0)}((u, \rho), (v, \eta)) = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{\text{id}}^0(u, v) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1}[\nabla(\rho \cdot \eta)] \\ \rho(\nabla \cdot v) + \eta(\nabla \cdot u) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\text{id}}^0(u, v) &= -\frac{1}{2} A^{-1} \{ u \cdot \nabla(Av) + (\nabla u)^T Av + Av(\nabla \cdot u) - A(\nabla u \cdot v) \\ &\quad + v \cdot \nabla(Au) + (\nabla v)^T Au + Au(\nabla \cdot v) - A(\nabla v \cdot u) \}, \end{aligned}$$

and define, for  $\gamma = 0$ ,  $p = p_1 \in G^s$ , and  $u, v \in T_p G^s \simeq H^s$  ( $\simeq H_0^s$  respectively),

$$\Gamma_p(u, v) = \Gamma_{\text{id}}^0(u \circ p_1^{-1}, v \circ p_1^{-1}) \circ p_1,$$

and for  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $p = (p_1, p_2) \in G^s$ , and  $u, v \in T_p G^s \simeq H^s \times H^{s-1}$  ( $\simeq H_0^s \times H^{s-1}$  respectively),

$$\Gamma_p(u, v) = \Gamma_{(\text{id}, 0)}(u \circ p_1^{-1}, v \circ p_1^{-1}) \circ p_1.$$

It is easy to check that, for  $\gamma = 0$ ,  $\Gamma_p \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{sym}}^2(H^s, H^s)$  ( $\Gamma_p \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{sym}}^2(H_0^s, H_0^s)$ , respectively) and, for  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $\Gamma_p \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{sym}}^2(H^s \times H^{s-1}, H^s \times H^{s-1})$  ( $\Gamma_p \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{sym}}^2(H_0^s \times H^{s-1}, H_0^s \times H^{s-1})$ , respectively).

For a given function  $w \in T_e G^s$ , there is a unique solution to the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} p_t(t) &= w \circ p_1(t), \\ p(0) &= e \end{cases}$$

on the Hilbert manifold  $G^s$ . This gives us the *Lagrangian coordinates*  $p = p(t)$  for which we consider the second order equation

$$(10) \quad p_{tt} = \Gamma_p(p_t, p_t).$$

Eq. (10) is the geodesic equation on  $G^s$  for the affine connection

$$(11) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X Y)(p) = DY(p) \cdot X(p) - \Gamma_p(X(p), Y(p)),$$

where  $X, Y$  are smooth vector fields on  $G^s$ . It remains to prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}(G^s)$  be the space of smooth vector fields on  $G^s$ . The map  $\bar{\nabla}: \mathfrak{X}(G^s) \times \mathfrak{X}(G^s) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(G^s)$  defined in (11) is a smooth, torsion-free affine connection on  $G^s$ , i.e.,*

- (i)  $\bar{\nabla}_{fX+gY} Z = f\bar{\nabla}_X Z + g\bar{\nabla}_Y Z$ ,
- (ii)  $\bar{\nabla}_X (Y + Z) = \bar{\nabla}_X Y + \bar{\nabla}_X Z$ ,
- (iii)  $\bar{\nabla}_X (fY) = f\bar{\nabla}_X Y + X(f)Y$ ,
- (iv)  $\bar{\nabla}_X Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y X = [X, Y]$ ,

for all  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(G^s)$  and all  $f, g \in C^\infty(G^s; \mathbb{R})$ . Moreover, the map  $p \mapsto (p, (\bar{\nabla}_X Y)(p))$ ,  $G^s \mapsto TG^s$ , is smooth for any  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(G^s)$ . Finally, the connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  preserves the metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  in the usual sense

$$X \langle Y, Z \rangle = \langle \bar{\nabla}_X Y, Z \rangle + \langle \bar{\nabla}_X Z, Y \rangle, \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(G^s).$$

*Proof.* That  $\bar{\nabla}$  satisfies the properties (i)–(iv) follows immediately from the definition (11) and the local formula  $[X, Y] = DY \cdot X - DX \cdot Y$ . That  $p \mapsto (p, (\bar{\nabla}_X Y)(p))$  is smooth follows from the fact that the geodesic spray  $p \mapsto \Gamma_p$  is smooth; this can be deduced as in Appendix A.1 of [25]. If  $\gamma = 0$ , the compatibility condition can be obtained by the line of arguments in the proof of Proposition 5 of [25], since the calculations there do not depend on the particular choice of the inertia operator and can be generalized immediately to dimensions greater than two. For  $\gamma = 1$ , it remains to prove that the sum of the following six terms coming from the additional  $n$  components

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \tilde{\Gamma}_1(u, v) A w_1 \, d^n x - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \tilde{\Gamma}_1(u, w) A v_1 \, d^n x - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \Gamma_2(u, v) w_2 \, d^n x \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \Gamma_2(u, w) v_2 \, d^n x + \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} (\nabla v_2 \cdot u_1) w_2 \, d^n x + \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} (\nabla w_2 \cdot u_1) v_2 \, d^n x \end{aligned}$$

is zero; here,  $u = (u_1, u_2)$  etc.,  $\tilde{\Gamma}_1(u, v) = -\frac{1}{2}A^{-1}[(\nabla u_2)^T v_2 + (\nabla v_2)^T u_2]$  and  $\Gamma_2$  is the second component of the Christoffel map (8). Using the multi-dimensional integration by parts formula, a careful observation then shows that the above terms indeed cancel out. Thus compatibility of  $\bar{\nabla}$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  holds true for any possible choice of the parameters  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ .  $\square$

To recover the Camassa-Holm type systems in terms of the *Eulerian coordinates*  $u = u(t)$  or  $(u, \rho) = (u, \rho)(t)$  respectively from the geometric picture, we compute

the dual operator of the commutator bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  with respect to the right-invariant metric induced by  $\mathbb{A}$ . Using integration by parts one easily verifies that

$$\langle [u, w], v \rangle = \langle B(u, v), w \rangle, \quad u, v, w \in T_e G^s,$$

where

$$B(u, v) = -A^{-1}(u \cdot \nabla(Av) + (\nabla u)^T Av + Av(\nabla \cdot u)),$$

for  $\gamma = 0$ , and

$$B(u, v) = \begin{pmatrix} -A^{-1}(u_1 \cdot \nabla(Av_1) + (\nabla u_1)^T Av_1 + Av_1(\nabla \cdot u_1) + (\nabla u_2)^T v_2) \\ -\nabla v_2 \cdot u_1 - (\nabla \cdot u_1)v_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

for  $\gamma = 1$ . It is clear that we can rewrite the systems under discussion in the form  $u_t = B(u, u)$  and  $(u_t, \rho_t) = B((u, \rho), (u, \rho))$  respectively and that the right-hand side  $B$  looks as specified above.

### 3. APPLICATIONS OF THE GEOMETRIC THEORY

We let  $X^s = X_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^s$  be the space

| $(\alpha, \beta)$ | $(0, 0)$               | $(0, 1)$             | $(1, 0)$             |
|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| $\gamma = 0$      | $H_0^s$                | $H^s$                | $H^s$                |
| $\gamma = 1$      | $H_0^s \times H^{s-1}$ | $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ | $H^s \times H^{s-1}$ |

and  $X^\infty$  the corresponding space in the smooth category. Since the existence of a smooth connection immediately implies the existence of a smooth geodesic flow, we obtain well-posedness of the geodesic equation (10) in the  $H^s$  category.

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be the Christoffel map defined in Sect. 2, for some admissible triplet  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . There is an open neighborhood  $U \subset X^s$  containing zero, for  $s > n/2 + 2$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , such that for any  $w_0 \in U$ , the Cauchy problem*

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} p_{tt} &= \Gamma_p(p_t, p_t), \\ p_t(0) &= w_0, \\ p(0) &= e \end{cases}$$

*for the geodesic flow corresponding to the Camassa-Holm type system specified by  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  on  $G^s$  has a unique solution  $p(t)$ , on some interval  $[0, T)$ , which depends smoothly on time and on the initial value, i.e., the map  $(t, w_0) \mapsto p(t)$ ,  $[0, T) \times U \rightarrow G^s$  is smooth.*

Indeed, well-posedness also holds in the smooth category. This follows from an application of Theorem 12.1 in [9] where it is shown that the geodesic flow preserves its spatial regularity as we increase the regularity of the initial datum.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be the Christoffel map defined in Sect. 2, for some admissible triplet  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . There is an open neighborhood  $U \subset X^s$  containing zero, for some fixed  $s > n/2 + 2$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , such that for any smooth  $w_0 \in U$ , the Cauchy problem (12) for the geodesic flow corresponding to the Camassa-Holm type system specified by  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  on  $G^\infty$  has a unique solution  $p(t)$ , on some interval  $[0, T)$ , which depends smoothly on time and on the initial value, i.e., the map  $(t, w_0) \mapsto p(t)$ ,*

$[0, T) \times U_\infty \rightarrow G^\infty$  is smooth; here  $U_\infty$  denotes the subset of smooth functions lying in  $U$ .

In view of the group properties of  $G^s$  and  $G^\infty$ , we immediately deduce the following well-posedness results for our Camassa-Holm type systems in Euclidean variables.

**Corollary 7.** *There exists an open neighborhood  $U \subset X^s$  containing zero,  $s > n/2 + 2$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , such that for any  $w_0 \in U$  there exists an interval  $J = [0, T)$  and a unique solution*

$$w \in C(J, X^s) \times C^1(J, X^{s-1})$$

to the Camassa-Holm type system specified by  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , satisfying the initial condition  $w(0) = w_0$ , and depending continuously on  $w_0$ . If  $w_0 \in U_\infty$ , as defined in Theorem 6, we have that

$$w \in C^\infty(J, X^\infty),$$

and the local flow  $(t, w_0) \mapsto w$  is smooth.

Another important consequence of the geometric formalism presented in Sect. 2 is the following conservation law. In comparison with the geodesic motion of a three-dimensional rigid body on the finite dimensional Lie group  $SO(3)$ , we have conservation of the angular momentum in the frame of reference of the rotating body.

**Theorem 8.** *Any solution to the Camassa-Holm type system specified by  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma = 1$  satisfies the conservation law*

$$(13) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{(\nabla p_1)^T (m \circ p_1) |\nabla p_1| + (\nabla p_2)^T (\rho \circ p_1) |\nabla p_1|}{(\rho \circ p_1) |\nabla p_1|} \right) = 0.$$

In addition, for  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ , we find conservation of the quantity

$$(14) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} (|\nabla u_1|^2 + \cdots + |\nabla u_n|^2 + |\rho|^2) d^n x = 0.$$

The corresponding conservation laws for  $\gamma = 0$  are obtained by setting  $\rho = 0$  in (13) and (14).

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma = 1$ . To prove the conservation law (14), we multiply the first row equation of the  $n$ -dimensional Hunter-Saxton system (1) by  $u$ , integrate over the  $n$ -torus and use the multi-dimensional integration by parts formula to obtain that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \nabla u_{t,i} \cdot \nabla u_i d^n x = - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} [(\nabla \rho)^T \cdot \rho] \cdot u d^n x.$$

Multiplying the second row equation of the Hunter-Saxton system by  $\rho$  and integrating over  $\mathbb{S}^n$  we find that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \rho_t \cdot \rho d^n x = - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} (\nabla \rho \cdot u + (\nabla \cdot u) \rho) \cdot \rho d^n x.$$

Performing integration by parts once again shows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} (|\nabla u_1|^2 + \cdots + |\nabla u_n|^2 + |\rho|^2) d^n x = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla u_{t,i} \cdot \nabla u_i + \rho_t \cdot \rho \right) d^n x = 0.$$

It follows from  $p_{1,t} = u \circ p_1$  that  $\frac{d}{dt}(\nabla p_1)^T = (\nabla p_1)^T (\nabla u \circ p_1)^T$  and that  $\frac{d}{dt}(m \circ p_1) = (m_t + \nabla m \cdot u) \circ p_1$ . Since the determinant is an alternating multilinear form, we see that

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\nabla p_1| = |\nabla p_1| (\nabla \cdot u) \circ p_1.$$

Consequently we find that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \{(\rho \circ p_1) |\nabla p_1|\} = \{[\rho_t + \nabla \rho \cdot u + \rho (\nabla \cdot u)] \circ p_1\} |\nabla p_1| = 0$$

and, since  $p_{2,t} = \rho \circ p_1$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \{(\nabla p_1)^T (m \circ p_1) |\nabla p_1| + (\nabla p_2)^T (\rho \circ p_1) |\nabla p_1|\} \\ &= (\nabla p_1)^T \{[(\nabla u)^T m + m_t + \nabla m \cdot u + m (\nabla \cdot u) + (\nabla \rho)^T \rho] \circ p_1\} |\nabla p_1| \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This achieves the proof of our theorem.  $\square$

#### 4. THE CASE $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (1, 0, 0)$ AND $n = 2$

Let  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (1, 0, 0)$  and  $n = 2$ , i.e., we consider the 2D 2-component  $\mu$ -Camassa-Holm equation. In this section, it is our aim to compute the sectional curvature of the group  $G^\infty$  associated with the  $(1, 0, 0)$ -Camassa-Holm equation and to show that this equation is the only member of the so-called multi-variable  $b$ -equation

$$(15) \quad m_t = -u \cdot \nabla m - (\nabla u)^T \cdot m - (b-1)m(\nabla \cdot u), \quad m = (\mu - \Delta)u,$$

for which the associated geodesic flow is related to a crucial Riemannian structure, as explained in Sect. 2. For any  $b > 2$ , Eq. (15) belongs to the family of so-called non-metric Euler equations. A similar observation has been made in [25] for the  $(0, 1, 0)$ -Camassa-Holm equation. Let us now prove the analog of Theorem 3 in [25].

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $b \geq 2$  be an integer and  $\mathbb{L} = \text{diag}(\mu - \Delta, \mu - \Delta)$ . Suppose that there is a regular inertia operator  $\mathbb{A} = \text{diag}(A, A)$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{is}}^{\text{sym}}(C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2))$ , such that the 2D- $\mu$ - $b$ -equation*

$$m_t = -u \cdot \nabla m - (\nabla u)^T m - (b-1)m(\nabla \cdot u), \quad m = \mathbb{L}u,$$

*is the Euler equation on  $\text{Diff}^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2)$  with respect to the right-invariant metric  $\rho_{\mathbb{A}}$  induced by  $\mathbb{A}$ . Then  $b = 2$  and  $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{L}$ .*

*Proof.* We assume that, for a given  $b \geq 2$  and  $A \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{is}}^{\text{sym}}(C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2))$ , the 2D- $\mu$ - $b$ -equation is the Euler equation on the torus diffeomorphism group with respect to  $\rho_{\mathbb{A}}$ . As elucidated in [25], this implies that

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}^{-1} \{u \cdot \nabla (\mathbb{A}u) + (\nabla u)^T \mathbb{A}u + \mathbb{A}u(\nabla \cdot u)\} &= \\ \mathbb{L}^{-1} \{u \cdot \nabla (\mathbb{L}u) + (\nabla u)^T (\mathbb{L}u) + (b-1)(\mathbb{L}u)(\nabla \cdot u)\}, \end{aligned}$$

that  $\text{span}\{\mathbf{1}\}$ ,  $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1)$ , is an invariant subspace of  $\mathbb{A}$ , more precisely  $\mathbb{A}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ , and that

$$(17) \quad \mathbb{A}^{-1} [(\nabla u)^T + \nabla(\mathbb{A}u) + (\nabla \cdot u)] \mathbf{1} = \mathbb{L}^{-1} [(\nabla u)^T + \nabla(\mathbb{L}u) + (b-1)(\nabla \cdot u)] \mathbf{1}.$$

Let  $n = (n_1, n_2) \in (2\pi\mathbb{Z})^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ , and write  $z = (x, y)$  for the variable on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ . We will consider the functions  $u_n = e^{inz}\mathbf{1}$  in the following for which we have  $\mathbb{L}u_n = n^2u_n$  and  $\mathbb{L}^{-1}u_n = n^{-2}u_n$ ,  $n^2 = n_1^2 + n_2^2$ . Set  $v_n = \mathbb{A}u_n$ . An explicit calculation of the left hand and right hand side of (17) shows that we have the identity

$$(18) \quad \nabla v_n \cdot \mathbf{1} - i\alpha_n v_n = -i\beta_n u_n$$

where

$$\alpha_n = \text{diag} \left( (b+1)\frac{n_1}{n^2} + (b-1)\frac{n_2}{n^2} + n_1 + n_2, (b+1)\frac{n_2}{n^2} + (b-1)\frac{n_1}{n^2} + n_1 + n_2 \right),$$

$$\beta_n = \text{diag} (3n_1 + n_2, 3n_2 + n_1).$$

Assume that  $n_1 \neq n_2$  first. Since  $v_n = Ae^{inz}\mathbf{1}$  we get that  $Ae^{inz} = n^2e^{inz}$ . For  $n_1 = n_2$  we see that the function  $v_n = \frac{2}{b}n^2e^{inz}\mathbf{1}$  constitutes a solution to (18). We insert  $u_n$  for  $n_1 = n_2 \neq 0$  into Eq. (16) to get that  $b = 2$ . Since  $\{e^{inz}; n \in (2\pi\mathbb{Z})^2\}$  is a basis for  $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2)$ , it follows that  $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{L}$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

We also note the following immediate consequence of the above theorem.

**Corollary 10.** *The geodesic flow for the two-dimensional and two-component  $\mu$ -Degasperis-Procesi equation*

$$m_t = -u \cdot \nabla m - (\nabla u)^T \cdot m - 2m(\nabla \cdot u), \quad m = (\mu - \Delta)u,$$

on the diffeomorphism group of the torus is not related to any right-invariant metric on  $\text{Diff}^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2)$  with inertia operator  $\text{diag}(A, A)$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{is}}^{\text{sym}}(C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2))$ .

The existence of a smooth connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  on a Banach manifold  $M$  immediately implies the existence of a smooth curvature tensor  $R$  defined by

$$R(X, Y)Z = \bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\nabla}_Y Z - \bar{\nabla}_Y \bar{\nabla}_X Z - \bar{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} Z,$$

where  $X, Y, Z$  are vector fields on  $M$ . In the case of the  $(1, 0, 0)$ -Camassa-Holm equation, since there exists a metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , we can also define an (unnormalized) sectional curvature  $S$  by

$$S(X, Y) := \langle R(X, Y)Y, X \rangle.$$

In this section, we will derive a convenient formula for  $S$  and use it to determine large subspaces of positive curvature for the 2D 2-component  $\mu$ -Camassa-Holm equation. Similar results on the  $(0, 1, 0)$ -Camassa-Holm equation can be found in [25]. Let us now state the analog of Theorem 4 of [25].

**Proposition 11.** *Let  $R$  be the curvature tensor on  $G^\infty$  associated with the  $(1, 0, 0)$ -Camassa-Holm equation. Then  $S(u, v) := \langle R(u, v)v, u \rangle$  is given at the identity by*

$$(19) \quad S(u, v) = \langle \Gamma(u, v), \Gamma(u, v) \rangle - \langle \Gamma(u, u), \Gamma(v, v) \rangle + R(u, v),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R(u, v) = & \langle \nabla u \cdot u, \nabla v \cdot v \rangle - \langle \nabla u \cdot v, \nabla u \cdot v \rangle + \langle \nabla v \cdot u, \nabla u \cdot v \rangle - \langle \nabla v \cdot u, \nabla v \cdot u \rangle \\ & + \langle [\nabla(\nabla u \cdot u)] \cdot v, v \rangle - \langle [\nabla(\nabla u \cdot v)] \cdot v, u \rangle + \langle [\nabla(\nabla v \cdot u)] \cdot v, u \rangle \\ & - \langle [\nabla(\nabla v \cdot u)] \cdot u, v \rangle - \langle \nabla v(\nabla u \cdot u), v \rangle - \langle \nabla u(\nabla v \cdot v), u \rangle \\ & + \langle \nabla v(\nabla v \cdot u), u \rangle + \langle \nabla u(\nabla v \cdot u), v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* One can use the arguments in the proof of Theorem 4 of [25], since the calculations there do not depend on the particular choice of the inertia operator  $\mathbb{A}$ .  $\square$

Curvature computations have a long tradition in the geometric theory of partial differential equations, see, e.g., [11, 37], and we will now contribute some further examples concerning the  $(1, 0, 0)$ -Camassa-Holm equation. For our purposes, two-dimensional subspaces on which  $S > 0$  are of particular interest since the positivity of  $S$  is related to stability properties of the geodesic flow, cf. [2]. Large subspaces of positive curvature for variations of the Camassa-Holm equation have been found in [25, 26, 38, 39] by evaluating the sectional curvature on trigonometric functions. Here we extend this discussion for the  $(1, 0, 0)$ -Camassa-Holm equation.

**Example 12.** Let  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  be the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , let  $k_1, k_2 \in 2\pi\mathbb{N}$  and let

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} \sin(k_1 x) \sin(k_2 y) \\ \sin(k_1 x) \sin(k_2 y) \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the following, we will make use of the identity

$$(\mu - \Delta)^{-1} \sin(\alpha x) \cos(\beta y) = \frac{\sin(\alpha x) \cos(\beta y)}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}$$

and the trigonometric formulas

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} \cos^2(\alpha x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{S}} \sin^2(\alpha x) dx = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}} \cos(\alpha x) \sin(\beta x) dx = 0, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in 2\pi\mathbb{N}.$$

We claim that  $S(e_i, v) > 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . First we observe that for general  $w \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2)$ , we have that  $R(e_i, w) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ; this follows from a short computation using integration by parts. Hence

$$S(e_i, v) = \langle \Gamma(e_i, v), \Gamma(e_i, v) \rangle.$$

We leave it to the reader to perform explicitly the easy calculations leading to the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} S(e_1, v) &= \frac{1}{8} \frac{2k_1^2 + k_2^2}{k_1^2 + k_2^2}, \\ S(e_2, v) &= \frac{1}{8} \frac{2k_2^2 + k_1^2}{k_1^2 + k_2^2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that, for any  $k_1, k_2 \in 2\pi\mathbb{N}$ ,  $S > 0$  on the spaces  $\text{span}\{e_i, v\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Arnold V I 1966 Sur la géométrie différentielle des groupes de Lie de dimension infinie et ses applications à l'hydrodynamique des fluides parfaits *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **16** 319–61
- [2] Arnold V I 1989 *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics (GTM 60)* (Springer, New York 1989)
- [3] Camassa R and Holm D D 1993 An integrable shallow water wave equation with peaked solitons *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** 1661–4
- [4] Constantin A and Escher J 1998 Well-posedness, global existence, and blowup phenomena for a periodic quasi-linear hyperbolic equation *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **51**(5) 475–504
- [5] Constantin A and Ivanov R 2008 On an integrable two-component Camassa-Holm shallow water system *Phys. Lett. A* **372** 7129–32
- [6] Constantin A and Kolev B 2002 On the geometric approach to the motion of inertial mechanical systems *J. Phys. A* **35**(32) 51–79
- [7] Constantin A and Kolev B 2003 Geodesic flow on the diffeomorphism group of the circle *Comment. Math. Helv.* **78**(4) 787–804
- [8] Constantin A and Lannes D 2009 The hydrodynamical relevance of the Camassa-Holm and Degasperis-Procesi equations *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **192**(1) 165–86
- [9] Ebin D G and Marsden J E 1970 Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of an incompressible fluid *Ann. of Math.* **92**(2) 102–63
- [10] Escher J, Kohlmann M and Lenells J 2011 The geometry of the two-component Camassa-Holm and Degasperis-Procesi equations *J. Geom. Phys.* **61** 436–52
- [11] Freed D 1988 The geometry of loop groups *J. Differential Geom.* **28** 223–76
- [12] Gay-Balmaz F 2009 Well-posedness of higher dimensional Camassa-Holm equations *Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Brasov* **2**(51)
- [13] Guha P and Olver P J 2006 Geodesic flow and two (super) component analog of the Camassa-Holm equation *SIGMA* **2** 54–63 (2006)
- [14] Guo Z and Zhou Y 2010 On solutions to a two-component generalized Camassa-Holm equation *Studies in Applied Mathematics* **124** 307–322
- [15] Holm D D and Ivanov R I 2011 Two-component CH system: inverse scattering, peakons and geometry *Inverse Problems* **27**(4) 045013
- [16] Holm D D and Marsden J E 2005 Momentum maps and measure-valued solutions (peakons, filaments and sheets) for the EPDiff equation *The Breadth of Symplectic and Poisson Geometry. Progress in Mathematics* **232** 203–35 (Birkhäuser: Boston)
- [17] Holm D D and Staley M F 2003 Wave structure and nonlinear balances in a family of evolutionary PDEs *SIAM J. Applied Dynamical Systems* **2**(3) 323–80
- [18] Holm D D and Tronci C 2009 Geodesic flows on semidirect-product Lie groups: geometry of singular measure-valued solutions *Proc. R. Soc. A* **465** 457–76

- [19] Hunter J K and Saxton R 1991 Dynamics of director fields *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **51** 1498–521
- [20] Hunter J K and Zheng Y 1994 On a completely integrable nonlinear hyperbolic variational equation *Physica D* **79** 361–86
- [21] Ivanov R I 2005 On the integrability of a class of nonlinear dispersive waves equations *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **12**(4) 462–68
- [22] Ivanov R I 2007 Water waves and integrability *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **365**(1858) 2267–80
- [23] Johnson R S 2003 The classical problem of water waves: a reservoir of integrable and nearly integrable equations *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **10**, no. suppl. 1, 72–92
- [24] Khesin B, Lenells J and Misiołek G 2008 Generalized Hunter-Saxton equation and the geometry of the group of circle diffeomorphisms *Math. Ann.* **342** 617–56
- [25] Kohlmann M 2011 The two-dimensional periodic  $b$ -equation on the diffeomorphism group of the torus *J. Phys. A.: Math. Theor.* **44** 465205 (17 pp.)
- [26] Kohlmann M 2012 On a two-component  $\pi$ -Camassa-Holm system *J. Geom. Phys.* **62** 832–8
- [27] Kouranbaeva S 1999 The Camassa-Holm equation as a geodesic flow on the diffeomorphism group *J. Math. Phys.* **40**(2) 857–68
- [28] Kruse H P, Scheurle J and Du W 2001 A two-dimensional version of the Camassa-Holm equation. *Symmetry and perturbation theory SPT 2001*, Sardinia (Eds. D. Bambusi, G. Gaeta and M. Cadoni), World Scientific: New York, 120–7
- [29] Lechtenfeld O and Lenells J 2009 On the  $N = 2$  supersymmetric Camassa-Holm and Hunter-Saxton equations *J. Math. Phys.* **50** 012704
- [30] Lenells J 2007 Riemannian geometry on the diffeomorphism group of the circle. *Ark. Mat.* **45** 297–325
- [31] Lenells J 2007 The Hunter-Saxton equation describes the geodesic flow on a sphere *J. Geom. Phys.* **57** 2049–64
- [32] Lenells J 2007 Weak geodesic flow and global solutions of the Hunter-Saxton equation *Disc. Cont. Dyn. Syst.* **18** 643–56
- [33] Lenells J 2008 The Hunter-Saxton equation: A geometric approach *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **40**(1) 266–77
- [34] Lenells J, Misiołek G and Tiğlay F 2010 Integrable evolution equations on spaces of tensor densities and their peakon solutions *Commun. Math. Phys.* **299** 129–61
- [35] Liu J and Yin Z On the Cauchy problem of a periodic 2-component  $\mu$ -Hunter-Saxton equation. arXiv:1012.5349v2 [math.AP]
- [36] Liu J and Yin Z Global weak solutions for a periodic two-component  $\mu$ -Hunter-Saxton system. arXiv:1012.5452v3 [math.AP]
- [37] McKean H P 1982 Curvature of an  $\infty$ -dimensional manifold related to Hill’s equation *J. Differential Geom.* **17**(4) 523–9

- [38] Misiołek G 1998 A shallow water equation as a geodesic flow on the Bott-Virasoro group *J. Geom. Phys.* **24** 203–8
- [39] Misiołek G 2002 Classical solutions of the periodic Camassa-Holm equation *GAF* **12** 1080–104
- [40] Molinet L 2004 On well-posedness results for Camassa-Holm equation on line: a survey *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **11**(4) 521–33
- [41] Wu H and Wunsch M Global existence for the generalized two-component Hunter-Saxton system. To appear in *J. Fluid. Mech.*, DOI: 10.1007/s00021-011-0075-9
- [42] Wunsch M 2010 The generalized Hunter-Saxton system *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **42**(3) 1286–304
- [43] Wunsch M 2011 Weak geodesic flow on a semi-direct product and global solutions to the periodic Hunter-Saxton system *Nonlinear Analysis TMA* **74**(15) 4951–60
- [44] Yin Z 2004 On the structure of solutions to the periodic Hunter-Saxton equation *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **36**(1) 272–83
- [45] Zou D 2010 A two-component  $\mu$ -Hunter-Saxton equation *Inverse Problems* **26** 085003 (9 pp.)

PETER L. REICHERTZ INSTITUTE FOR MEDICAL INFORMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRAUNSCHWEIG,  
D-38106 BRAUNSCHWEIG, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* martin.kohlmann@plri.de